# **Note on Poisson Process**

Zepeng CHEN

The HK PolyU

Date: January 12, 2023

#### **1** Stochastic Process

**Definition 1.1 (Stochastic Process)** 

A stochastic process  $X = \{X(t, w), t \in T\}$  is a collection of random variables. We often interpret t as time and call X(t) the state of the process at time t.

**Definition 1.2 (Sample Path)** 

Any realization of X is called a sample path.

For example, when t is given, then you get a random variable X(w), which characterizes the nature of stochastic. When w is given, then you get a sample path, you get a constant at every point of t, which characterizes the nature of process.

**Definition 1.3 (Independent Increments)** 

A continuous stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is said to have independent increments if for all  $t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_n$ , the random variables

$$X(t_1) - X(t_0), ..., X(t_n) - X(t_{n-1})$$

are independent.

This means the changes in its value over nonoverlapping time intervals are independent.

**Definition 1.4 (Stationary increments)** 

A continuous stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is said to possess stationary increments if X(t+s) - X(t) has the same distribution for all t.

This means the distribution of the change in value between any two points depends only on the distance between those points.

**Definition 1.5 (Counting Process)** 

A stochastic process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a counting process if N(t) represents the total number of "events" that have occurred up to time t. A counting process N(t) must satisfy

•  $N(t) \ge 0$ 

- N(t) is integer valued
- If s < t, then  $N(s) \le N(t)$
- For s < t, N(t) N(s) equals the number of events occured in the interval (s, t]

# 2 Poisson Process

#### **Definition 2.1 (Poisson Process from events in interval 1)**

A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process having rate  $\lambda, \lambda > 0$ , if

- N(0) = 0
- The process has independent increments
- The number of events in any interval of length t is Poisson distributed with mean  $\lambda t$ , i.e., for all  $s, t \ge 0$ ,

$$P\{N(t+s) - N(s) = n\} = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \quad n = 0, 1...$$

**Remark** The condition 3 also reflects that a Poisson process has stationary increments and  $E[N(t)] = \lambda t$ , which explains why  $\lambda$  is called the rate of the process.

**Proof** On the basis of Erlang distribution, we can derive  $P\{N(t) = n\}$ 

$$P\{N(t) = n \mid S_n = \tau\} = P\{X_{n+1} > t - \tau\} = e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}$$

$$P\{N(t) = n\} = \int_0^t P\{N(t) = n \mid S_n = \tau\} f_{S_n}(\tau) ds = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda\tau} \frac{(\lambda\tau)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \cdot d\tau$$

$$= e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t \lambda \frac{(\lambda\tau)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} d\tau = e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t d \frac{(\lambda\tau)^n}{n!} = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}$$

**Definition 2.2 (Poisson Process from events in interval 2)** 

A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process having rate  $\lambda, \lambda > 0$ , if

- N(0) = 0
- the process has stationary and independent increments
- $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$
- $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} = o(h)$

Where a function f is said to be o(h) if  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = 0$ . The last two conditions imply

$$P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 - \lambda h + o(h)$$

#### Theorem 2.1 (Definition 2.1 and 2.2 are equivalent)

Just prove the third condition of definition 2.1 is equal to the last two conditions of definition 2.2.

When it comes to  $1 \rightarrow 2$ , just set t = h, s = 0, n = 0, 1, and expand it by Taylor's formula.

When it comes to  $2 \rightarrow 1$ , just imagine an interval [0, t] which is subdivided into k equal parts where k is very large. Hence, N(t) equal to the number of subintervals in which an event occurs. By stationary and independent increments, this number will have a binomial distribution with  $k, p = \lambda t/k + o(t/k)$ , and this binomial distribution converges to a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### **3** Interarrival and Waiting time distribution

**Theorem 3.1 (Sequence of interarrival times in Poisson process)** 

Consider a Poisson process, and let  $X_1$  denote the time of the first event. Further, for  $n \ge 1$ , let  $X_n$  denote the time between the (n - 1)st and the nth events. The sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  is called the sequence of interarrival times.

Particularly,  $X_n$ , n = 1, 2... are independent identically distributed exponential random variables having mean  $1/\lambda$ .

**Proof** At first, we prove that  $X_1$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $1/\lambda$ .

$$P\{X_1 > t\} = P\{N(t) = 0\} = e^{-\lambda t}$$

Then we prove that  $X_2$  is an exponential random variable with mean  $1/\lambda$  too.

$$P \{X_2 > t \mid X_1 = s\}$$
  
= P {0 events in  $(s, s + t] \mid X_1 = s\}$   
= P {0 events in  $(s, s + t]$ } independent increments  
= P {N(t) = 0} = e^{-\lambda t} stationary increments  
P {X<sub>2</sub> > t} =  $\int_s P \{X_2 > t \mid X_1 = s\} f_{X_1}(s) ds$  Lemma ??  
=  $\int_s e^{-\lambda t} f_{X_1}(s) ds = e^{-\lambda t}$ 

Next we prove that  $X_2$  is independent of  $X_1$ .

$$P \{X_1 > t_1, X_2 > t_2\} = \int_S P \{X_1 > t_1, X_2 > t_2 \mid X_1 = s\} f_{X_1}(s) ds$$
Lemma ??  

$$= \int_{s=t_1}^{\infty} P \{X_1 > t_1, X_2 > t_2 \mid X_1 = s\} f_{X_1}(s) ds$$
Trim the integration range  

$$= \int_{s=t_1}^{\infty} P \{X_2 > t_2 \mid X_1 = s\} f_{X_1}(s) ds$$

$$= \int_{s=t_1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_2} f_{X_1}(s) ds$$

$$= P \{X_1 > t_1\} e^{-\lambda t_2}$$

$$= P \{X_1 > t_1\} P \{X_2 > t_2\}$$

Repeating the same argument yields the desired result.

#### **Definition 3.1 (Poisson Process from waiting time distribution)**

Consider a sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  of independent identically distributed exponential random variables each having mean  $1/\lambda$ . Define a counting process such that the nth event of this process occurs at time  $S_n$ , where

$$S_n = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$$

The resultant counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is Poisson with rate  $\lambda$ .

**Remark**  $S_n$  is referred to as the arrival time of the *n*th event or the waiting time until the *n*th event, and has an Erlang or gamma distribution with parameters *n* and  $\lambda$ , thus we can get its density function simply, or we can deduce it as follows.

$$S_n \leq t \iff N(t) \geq n$$

$$P\{S_n \le t\} = P\{N(t) \ge n\} = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} P\{N(t) = j\}$$
$$= 1 - e^{-\lambda t} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^j}{j!}$$
$$f(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( -\lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^j}{j!} + \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \right)$$
$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda t} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^j}{j!} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^j}{j!} - \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^j}{j!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

#### **4** Arrival Times

#### **Definition 4.1 (Order statistics)**

Let  $Y_1, ...Y_n$  be *n* random variables. We say that  $Y_{(1)}, ...Y_{(n)}$  are the order statistics corresponding to  $Y_1, ...Y_n$  if  $Y_{(k)}$  is the kth smallest value among  $Y_1, ...Y_n, k = 1, ...n$ . If  $Y_i$  are i.i.d continuous random variables with probability density *f*, then the joint density of the order statistics  $Y_{(1)}, ...Y_{(n)}$  is given by

$$f_{os}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i), \quad y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n$$

**Remark** Note that n! comes from:  $Y_{(1)}, ...Y_{(n)} = (y_1, ...y_n) \iff Y_1, ...Y_n$  has a permutation of  $y_1, ...y_n$ .

Theorem 4.1 (Uniform arrival time)

Given that N(t) = n, the *n* arrival times  $S_1, ..., S_n$  have the same distribution as the order statistics corresponding to *n* independent random variables uniformly distributed on the interval (0, t). The joint density of the order statistics  $Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, ..., Y_{(n)}$  is

$$f_{os}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \frac{n!}{t^n}, \quad 0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n < t$$

**Proof** Firstly, we show that  $P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{s}{t} \quad \forall 0 \le s \le t$  is uniformly distributed over [0, t].

$$S_1|N(t) = 1 \iff X_1|N(t) = 1$$

$$P \{X_1 < s \mid N(t) = 1\} = \frac{P \{X_1 < s, N(t) = 1\}}{P\{N(t) = 1\}}$$
$$= \frac{P\{1 \text{ event in } [0, s), 0 \text{ events in } [s, t)\}}{P\{N(t) = 1\}}$$
$$= \frac{P\{1 \text{ event in } [0, s)\}P\{0 \text{ events in } [s, t)\}}{P\{N(t) = 1\}}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} \cdot e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}} = \frac{s}{t}$$

Let  $0 = t_0 < ... < t_n < t$ . And then we choose  $t_1^0, ... t_{n+1}^0$  such that  $0 = t_0 \le t_1^0 < ... < t_n^0 < t_n < t_{n+1}^0 = t$ .

$$P\left\{t_{i}^{0} < S_{i} \leq t_{i}, i = 1, 2, ..., n \mid N(t) = n\right\}$$

$$= \frac{P\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text{exactly 1 event in } (t_{i}^{0}, t_{i}], i = 1, ..., n, \\ \text{no events in } (t_{i-1}, t_{i}^{0}], i = 1, ..., n + 1 \end{array}\right\}}{P(N(t) = n)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(e^{-\lambda(t_{i}-t_{i}^{0})\lambda(t_{i} - t_{i}^{0})\right)\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{-\lambda(t_{i}^{0}-t_{i-1})}}{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n}/n!}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{t^{n}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t_{i} - t_{i}^{0}) \cdot \exp\left(\lambda t - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{i} - t_{i}^{0}) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (t_{i}^{0} - t_{i-1})\right)$$

By differentiating it with respect to  $t_1, ..., t_n$ , we obtain the conditional density of  $S_1, ..., S_n$ given that N(t) = n is as follows for any  $0 < t_1 ... < t_n < t$ .

n

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2 \cdots \partial t_n} P\left\{t_i^0 < S_i \le t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \mid N(t) = n\right\}$$
$$= \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2 \cdots \partial t_n} \frac{n!}{t^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(t_i - t_i^0\right) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_2 \cdots \partial t_n} \frac{n!}{t^n} \prod_{i=2}^n \left(t_i - t_i^0\right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_3 \cdots \partial t_n} \frac{n!}{t^n} \prod_{i=3}^n \left(t_i - t_i^0\right) = \cdots = \frac{n!}{t^n}$$

**Example 4.1Expectation of travelers' waiting times** Suppose that travelers arrive with a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . If the train departs at time t, compute the expected sum of waiting times of travelers  $E[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} (t - S_i)]$ .

$$\begin{split} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}\left(t-S_{i}\right)\mid N(t)=n\right] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(t-S_{i}\right)\mid N(t)=n\right]\\ &= nt-E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}S_{i}\mid N(t)=n\right]\\ E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}S_{i}\mid N(t)=n\right] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{(i)}\right] \quad by \, Theorem \, 4.I\\ &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}\right]\\ &= \frac{nt}{2}\\ E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}\left(t-S_{i}\right)\mid N(t)=n\right] &= \frac{nt}{2}\\ E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}\left(t-S_{i}\right)\mid N(t)=n\right] &= \frac{nt}{2}\\ E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}\left(t-S_{i}\right)\mid N(t)=n\right]\\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}\left(t-S_{i}\right)\mid N(t)=n|P\{N(t)=n\}\\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{nt}{2}P\{N(t)=n\} = \frac{t}{2}E[N(t)] = \frac{\lambda t^{2}}{2} \end{split}$$

Alternatively, we have

Solution

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} (t - S_i) \mid N(t) = n\right] = \frac{nt}{2} \to E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} (t - S_i) \mid N(t)\right] = \frac{N(t)t}{2}$$
$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} (t - S_i)\right] = E\left[E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} (t - S_i) \mid N(t)\right]\right] = E\left[\frac{N(t)t}{2}\right] = \frac{\lambda t^2}{2}$$

**Example 4.2Distribution of**  $S_n$  Let E denote the event that exactly n questions by time 1, given the event E, what is the pdf of  $S_n$ ?

**Solution** Conditioning on E,  $S_n$  has the same distribution as  $\max \{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ , where  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  are iid uniform distribution random variables in [0, 1].

$$P(S_n \le y \mid E) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(U_i \le y) = y^n$$

### **5** Split or Merge

#### **Theorem 5.1 (Split a Poisson Process)**

Suppose that each event of a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$  is classified as being either a type-I or type-II event. And the event occurs at time s will be classified as type-I with probability P(s) and type-II with probability 1 - P(s).

If  $N_i(t)$  represents the number of type-i events that occur by time t, i = 1, 2, then  $N_1(t)$ and  $N_2(t)$  are independent Poisson random variables having respective means  $\lambda tp$  and  $\lambda t(1-p)$ , where

$$p = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t P(s) ds$$

Proof

$$P \{N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m\}$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P \{N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = k\} P\{N(t) = k\}$   
=  $P \{N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = n + m\} P\{N(t) = n + m\}$ 

Consider an event occurs at time s, the probability that it would be a type-I event would be P(s). By theorem 4.1 this event will have occured uniformly distributed on (0, t). It follows that the probability that it would be a type-I event is p independently of the other events.

$$p = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t P(s) ds$$

Thus we can see  $P\{N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid N(t) = n + m\}$  as the probability of n success and m failures in n + m independent trials.

$$P \{N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m\}$$

$$=P \{N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m \mid N(t) = n + m\} P\{N(t) = n + m\}$$

$$=\frac{(n + m)!}{n!m!} p^{n}(1 - p)^{m} \cdot e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n+m}}{(n + m)!}$$

$$=e^{-\lambda p t} \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n}}{n!} \cdot e^{-\lambda(1-p)t} \frac{(\lambda(1-p)t)^{m}}{m!}$$

$$P \{N_{1}(t) = n\} = \sum_{m} P \{N_{1}(t) = n, N_{2}(t) = m\}$$

$$= \left(e^{-\lambda p t} \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n}}{n!}\right) \sum_{m} \left(e^{-\lambda(1-p)t} \frac{(\lambda(1-p)t)^{m}}{m!}\right)$$

$$= e^{-\lambda p t} \frac{(\lambda p t)^{n}}{n!}$$

Similarly, we show that  $N_1(t)$  is Poisson with mean  $\lambda pt$ ,  $N_2(t)$  is Poisson with mean  $\lambda(1-p)t$ , and  $N_1(t)$ ,  $N_2(t)$  are independent.

Theorem 5.2 (Merger)

Merging of independent Poisson processes is Poisson.

Proof

#### 6 Compound Poisson Process

**Definition 6.1 (Compound Poisson Random variable)** 

Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be a sequence of iid random variables having distribution F, and suppose that this sequence is independent of N, a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda$ . The random variable

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$$

is said to be a compound Poisson random variable with Poisson parameter  $\lambda$  and component distribution F.

**Definition 6.2 (Compound Poisson Process)** 

A stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a compound Poisson process if it can be represented, for  $t \ge 0$ , by

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$$

where  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a Poisson process, and  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, ...\}$  is a family of iid random variables that is independent of the process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ . Thus, if  $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a compound Poisson process then X(t) is a compound Poisson random variable.

Lemma 6.1 ((Song, 2020, PS. 1))

Suppose for a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ , an event occurring at time s contributes a random amount having distribution  $F_s, s \ge 0$ . Let W denote the sum of the contributions up to time t, i.e.,  $W = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$ . Then W is a compound Poisson random variable, with the same distribution as  $\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \tilde{X}_i$ , where  $\tilde{X}_i$  is independent of N(t) and are iid with  $F(x) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F_s(x) ds$ .

## 7 Conditional Poisson Process

**Definition 7.1 (Conditional Poisson process)** 

Let  $\Lambda$  be a positive random variable having distribution G and let  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  be a counting process such that, given that  $\Lambda = \lambda$ ,  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a Poisson process having rate  $\lambda$ . The process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is then called a conditional Poisson process.

**Remark** Note that a conditional Poisson process still possess stationary increment, but do not possess independent increment.

Lemma 7.1 (Property of Conditional Poisson process)

$$P\{N(t+s) - N(s) = n\} = E[P\{N(t+s) - N(s) = n \mid \Lambda\}]$$
$$= \int_0^\infty P\{N(t+s) - N(s) = n \mid \Lambda = \lambda\} dG(\lambda)$$
$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} dG(\lambda)$$

The conditional distribution of  $\Lambda$  can be calculated by

$$\begin{split} P\{\Lambda \leq x, N(t) = n\} &= E[P\{\Lambda \leq x, N(t) = n \mid \Lambda\}] \\ &= \int_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} P\{\Lambda \leq x, N(t) = n \mid \Lambda = \lambda\} dG(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\lambda=0}^{x} P\{N(t) = n \mid \Lambda = \lambda\} dG(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\lambda=0}^{x} e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^{n} / n! dG(\lambda) \\ P\{\Lambda \leq x \mid N(t) = n\} = \frac{P\{\Lambda \leq x, N(t) = n\}}{P\{N(t) = n\}} = \frac{\int_{\lambda=0}^{x} e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^{n} / n! dG(\lambda)}{\int_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^{n} dG(\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\lambda=0}^{x} e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^{n} dG(\lambda)}{\int_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^{n} dG(\lambda)} \end{split}$$

# Bibliography

Song, Miao (2020). LGT6202 Stochastic Models and Decision under Uncertainty.